

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,267	10/802,267 03/17/2004		Peter Poechmueller	2001 P 08978 US	4209
48154	7590	11/09/2005		EXAM	INER
SLATER &	MATSIL	LLP	WILLIAMS, HOWARD L		
17950 PRESTON ROAD SUITE 1000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75252				2819	

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<b>X</b>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,267	POECHMUELLER, PETER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Howard L. Williams	2819	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory portain to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und</li> </ul>	This action is non-final.  owance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 and 25-32 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 and 25-31 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 30 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 25-32 is/are pending in a size and 25-32 is/are with 25 is/are with 2</li></ul>	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a company of the application from the section for a company of the attached detailed Office action for a company of the action for a company of the attached detailed Office action for a company of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a company of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the linear of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the linear of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the linear of the certified copies of the certified	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	<b></b>		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Office Action Summary

Application No.: 10/802,267 Your Reference: 2001 P 08978US

Art Unit: 2819

Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: It depends from a cancelled claim. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11-22, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Borkar et al. (US 6538,584 B2). Borkar discloses data bus encoding to control the transitions and provide "secure" communication by compare the previous and current words to determine the number of transitions. If the threshold is exceeded the bits of the current word are inverted by the encoder (68; fig. 5) and sent to the drivers (72; fig. 3). An indicator bit is represented by the additional data line "X" in the "N+X" expression.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 22, 23, 26, 28, 29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bogin (US 6,584,526 B1). Bogin discloses a bus encodin system which examines the bits of the current word and determines whether the

Application No.: 10/802,267

Your Reference: 2001 P 08978US

Art Unit: 2819

number of bits of a first logical state or a second logical state exceed a threshold. Bogin's threshold is a ratio of 50%. If more than half the bits are determined to be active, the inversion control (and indicator) is asserted and the data word is inverted. Indication of this change is communicated to the receiving in by line 19 in figure 1. Bogin does not disclose the threshold as two-thirds of the total number of bits; however, it is noted that the specific ratio selected is disclosed by applicant to be arbitrary (page 15 penultimate line). Accordingly, the selection between one-half as disclosed by Bogin and the two-thirds ratio disclosed by applicant is seen as a matter of design choice.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakamura et al. in the IEEE article <u>A 500-MHz 4-Mb CMOS</u> pipeline-burst cache SRAM with point-to-point noise reduction coding I/O and Stan et al. in the IEEE article <u>Bus-Invert Coding for Low-Power I/O</u> disclose a bus inversion systems.

Applicant's response filed 23 September 2005 has been fully considered but is not persuasive. As recognized in the remarks filed with the amendment at the bottom of Page 10 of 15 Bogin is configured to invert at least one bit. The claims do not appear to contain language limiting the number of inverters. Regarding the remarks to Borkar, it is noted that Borkar discloses dividing the data word into groups which are independently controlled and the groups of N/2 have their own signaling bit. This would clearly limit the maximum number of data word bits inverted to at most 50%. Again the claim does not appear to contain any <u>clear</u> limitation to limit the number of inverters to less than the full number of bits for a word or group being handled.

Claim 30 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application No.: 10/802,267

Your Reference: 2001 P 08978US

Art Unit: 2819

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard L. Williams at telephone number (571) 272-1815.

11/1/05

Voice: (571) 272-1815

Howard L. Williams Primary Examiner

Howard LWelliams

Art Unit 2819